

Psychiatric outcomes and overall functioning in healthcare students during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study

Flávia de Moraes,^{1,2} Angelica de Baumont,^{1,3} Carolina Blaya Dreher,^{1,3} Gustavo Gauer,⁴ Gisele Gus Manfro^{1,3}

Abstract

Introduction: There is evidence that the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic impacted students on health care courses, including evidence of associations between anxiety or depression and inadequate coping mechanisms or unhealthy habits. However, little is known about possible predictors of mental health or psychiatric symptoms in Brazilian health care students during this period.

Objective: To evaluate possible factors associated with anxiety and depressive symptoms, used to measure psychiatric outcomes, and quality of life, used as a parameter of overall functionality, in Brazilian students on health care courses during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted with Brazilian students on health care courses from May to December 2020. Participants were recruited through social media and answered a 71-item open online questionnaire exploring demographic characteristics and personal behavior during the pandemic, anxiety, depression, and quality of life. We searched for variables potentially associated with psychiatric symptoms and mental health in these individuals using Poisson regression models.

Results: Multivariate models showed depression and anxiety were associated with poor quality of life and medication abuse was associated with greater anxiety and poor quality of life. Psychotherapy was an effective coping strategy for anxiety and meditation or mindfulness practice and physical activity improved the students' quality of life.

Conclusions: Our study presents important information about the factors associated with psychological impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and strategies for coping with them that should be helpful to reflect on and for designing appropriate interventions.

Keywords: Anxiety, depression, quality of life, COVID-19 pandemic, students on health care courses.

Introduction

In March 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) to be a pandemic,¹ leading to anxiety, mental health distress, and physical health problems.²⁻⁴ At that time, there were no vaccines or medications with known efficacy to treat the condition, so mitigation

actions such as social distancing were used across different countries, causing a significant and negative impact on the mental health of the general population, including the Brazilian population.⁵⁻⁸

Students on health care courses had a higher risk of exposure to coronavirus infection compared with the general population when attending their regular curricular internships in hospitals and at healthcare units.⁹ After

Submitted Oct 02 2021, accepted for publication Feb 28 2022.

¹ Programa de Transtornos de Ansiedade, Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil. ² Faculdade de Psicologia, UFRGS, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil. ³ Programa de Pós-Graduação em Psiquiatria e Ciências do Comportamento, UFRGS, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil. ⁴ Programa de Pós-Graduação em Psicologia, UFRGS, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.

Suggested citation: Moraes F, Baumont A, Dreher CB, Gauer G, Manfro GG. Psychiatric outcomes and overall functioning in healthcare students during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study. Trends Psychiatry Psychother. 2022;44:e20210416. http://doi.org/10.47626/2237-6089-2021-0416

completing 9 years of schooling (basic education, high school, and college), students that choose health care courses attend university for another 5 years within their areas of expertise (nursing, pharmacy, physiotherapy, and others), or for 6 years for medicine, after passing a national exam. During graduation, students are taught content that is defined by the curricular guidelines for their undergraduate courses, related to the individual healthdisease process, public health, and community health, integrated with epidemiology and professional practice. Courses must cover theoretical and clinical practice dimensions, considering mainly inclusion of internships at hospitals, outpatient clinics, and in the primary care network. In the last 2 years of undergraduate study, activities basically involve direct practical activities and patient care.

As a measure to protect academics and reduce the risk of contagion among the population, face-to-face classes and internships were canceled at universities. Even so, many students on health care courses chose to continue their curricular internships, where possible, motivated by altruistic feelings or seeking recognition or increased knowledge.¹⁰ On the other hand, some students might feel afraid about contracting and spreading the virus when working on the front line against COVID-19.¹¹

In this unprecedented situation, some evidence has emerged about the long-lasting impacts on the physical and mental health of students in general and students on health care programs, in particular nursing students.¹² Some of these recent studies have shown associations between anxiety or depression and inadequate coping mechanisms or unhealthy habits among health care students during the pandemic.7,13-16 Among Brazilian students, there was high prevalence of stress, anxiety, and depression in this period, 12,17,18 however, no difference was shown compared to the period before the pandemic.17 Some of these students reported alcohol.^{5,12,18} and substance abuse.^{7,12} However, some students were able to adopt physical activity as a method to improve positive emotions and mental well-being, offering protection against depression and anxiety and thereby improving their quality of life.18

Despite these findings, little is known about mental health in Brazilian health care students during the pandemic, or about possible associations with psychiatric symptoms and overall mental functionality in this population. Thus, this study aimed to conduct a surveybased assessment of possible variables associated with anxiety and depressive symptoms, used as measures of psychiatric outcomes, and quality of life, used as a parameter of overall functionality, in Brazilian students on health care courses during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods

Study design

This study was a cross-sectional online survey conducted among Brazilian health care students from May 2020 to December 2020. The study was performed with questionnaires administered using Google Forms, a free tool for online surveys offered by Google. The survey followed the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES), a checklist of recommendations to help authors ensure complete descriptions of Web-based surveys.¹⁹

The survey was based on the literature and all the technical functionality of the electronic questionnaire was tested by the researchers before the link with the questionnaire was made available. The items were not randomized or alternated. No identification of multiple entries was used. Only completed questionnaires were analyzed.

The sample size was estimated by PEPI-for-Windows (WINPEPI) v. 11.65, expecting a minimal difference of one point in the Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item (GAD-7) between distinct health care undergraduate courses, with a 5% significance level and 80% power, resulting in a total of 432 students.

Participants

Students on health care courses in Brazil were recruited through social networks (WhatsApp, Instagram, and Facebook) and by sending an invitation to participate in the survey to e-mail groups so that they could answer the open-source online questionnaire (Supplementary Material S1, available online-only). A convenience sample was selected, because the students who answered the online survey were not representative of all Brazilian states. Participants were able to review and change their answers using a "back" button.

Participation was anonymous and voluntary, with no monetary incentive, and an electronic informed consent form was made available to each subject who agreed to participate after being given a detailed and clear description of the main purposes of the study.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

We included students on health care courses aged 18 or over living in Brazil and excluded students from other academic areas.

Instruments

We developed a 71-item self-administered questionnaire (seven - 38 items per page) with seven pages, divided into two parts: first, a custom-designed questionnaire based on the CoRonavIruS Health Impact (CRISIS) Survey²⁰ that explored demographic characteristics and personal or close social network exposure to COVID-19 as well as personal protective behaviors adopted during the pandemic. We included 12 questions extracted from CRISIS, evaluating living, health habits, worries, eating behavior, coping, and use of tobacco or other drugs. The CRISIS Survey is available in many languages, including Portuguese.²⁰

The second part investigated anxiety and depressive symptoms, used to measure psychiatric outcomes, and quality of life, used as a parameter of overall functioning, incorporating the following instruments:

- The Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9): is a self-administered 9-item scale with four-point response options²¹⁻²³ that evaluates depressive symptoms. It has good internal consistency (a=.87) and is validated in Portuguese for detecting depression.²³
- GAD-7: this consists of seven items with a fourpoint response scale for assessing, diagnosing, and monitoring anxiety symptoms.²⁴⁻²⁶ It has a Cronbach coefficient of 0.916 and a reliability coefficient of 0.909 and the Brazilian Portuguese version of the GAD-7 is considered adequate for assessing symptoms of generalized anxiety disorder in Brazilian adults.²⁵
- The Quality of Life and Satisfaction Questionnaire (QLESQ): is a 16-item scale containing eight subscales. It has a Portuguese version, which serves to assess levels of satisfaction and pleasure during the last week in eight functional domains.^{27,28} All Q-LES-Q subscales have a significant Cronbach's alpha of 0.78 or greater.^{27,28}

Procedures

Participants answered the survey from May to December of 2020. A convenience sampling method was employed for data collection via social media with an online questionnaire including the instruments described above.

The survey link was posted on various university student platforms available on social media (e.g., Facebook). The study was entirely voluntary in nature and the participant could withdraw at any time without providing any justification. After respondents had read the informed consent form and agreed to it, the online questionnaire was released for completion.

A total of 462 respondents completed the survey and thus the final analysis could be carried out. No financial incentives were provided to participants and anonymity was maintained to ensure data confidentiality and reliability. This study was conducted online in full compliance with the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki on research in human participants.

Ethical considerations

This research was approved by the ethics committee at the Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre (CAAE: 31873520.5.0000.5327) and conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki. All consent forms, as well as the data collected, were treated as secret and confidential and were stored on a local electronic device, with all records in virtual or shared environments erased. Therefore, all documents are the sole responsibility of the main researcher.

Data availability

Data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Software for Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows, version 21.0, and the level of significance was set at 0.05.

Sample characteristics were expressed as means (standard deviations [SD]), median (minimum and maximum), or percentages. We checked for normal distribution of quantitative data using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and by viewing histograms.

We tested associations with anxiety (GAD-7 \ge 10) and depression (PHQ-9 \ge 10) using chi-square tests for categorical variables and independent samples *t* tests or Mann-Whitney *U* sum tests for continuous variables. We also searched for variables associated with quality of life (QLESQ total scores) using *t* tests or Spearman's correlations. Results of bivariate analyses were reported as means (SD) or percentages.

For multivariable analysis, we used Poisson regression with robust variance to model associations between anxiety (GAD-7 \geq 10), depression (PHQ-9 \geq 10), or quality of life (QLESQ total scores) and independent variables. We included independent variables in the model if p < 0.05 on bivariate analysis, or based on previous studies on mental outcomes during the pandemic.^{4,10,11,29-33}

All independent variables in the multiple linear regression were tested for multicollinearity. Results are reported as prevalence ratios or beta and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI).

Additionally, attempting to understand whether participants from different undergraduate health care courses would differ in terms of mental health characteristics and disorders, as well as behaviors during the pandemic, participants were distributed into four groups according to their undergraduate course: nursing, pharmacy, medicine, and other health courses. We compared continuous variables between groups with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or the Mann-Whitney *U* test and compared categorical variables with chi-square tests. Results were reported as means (SD) or percentages.

Results

Participants' demographic and clinical characteristics A total of 462 health care students were included, most were women (369 females; 79.9%) and ages ranged from 16 to 61 years. Most participants were from Rio Grande do Sul state (78.3%), a majority were residents of urban areas (66.9%), and only 16.2% reported living alone. The most prevalent academic category in our sample was medical students (42.6%) and 72.1% of all samples were interns at hospitals or at healthcare units.

The proportion of students with moderate to severe anxiety was 39.4% (GAD-7 \ge 10) and 51.5% reported depressive symptoms (PHQ-9 score \ge 10) (Table 1). More detailed information on the demographic and COVID-19-related characteristics of the participants is summarized in Table 1.

Psychiatric outcomes

Presence of severe anxiety (GAD-7 ≥10) was associated with low quality of life, depressive symptoms, use of medication as a coping strategy (with a medical prescription), alcohol, medication abuse (unprescribed) as a coping strategy, and severe fear of the infection in bivariate analyses. Physical activity, living in urban areas, and no fear of contagion were associated with a lower frequency of anxiety (Table S1, available as online-only supplementary material). Being female, being older, having severe anxiety, living in rural areas, being on medication with a prescription or having psychotherapy, using alcohol as a coping strategy, and severe fear of COVID-19 were associated with depressive symptoms. Physical activity and higher quality of life were associated with a lower frequency of depression (Table S2).

Multivariate Poisson regression analysis using the presence of anxiety as the outcome showed that participants from undergraduate nursing courses had a higher prevalence (35.2%) of anxiety in comparison to medical students. Pharmacotherapy abuse was associated with a 31.6% higher prevalence of anxiety and depression was associated with a four times greater prevalence of anxiety. Psychotherapy and good quality of life were associated with a lower prevalence of anxiety symptoms (Table 2).

In a multivariate analysis using depressive symptoms as the outcome, medication use was associated with a 28.1% higher prevalence of depression, psychotherapy with a 23.8% higher prevalence, and presence of anxiety was associated with twice the prevalence of depression. Moderate fear in comparison to severe fear, older age, and higher quality of life were all associated with lower prevalence of depression (Table 3).

Table 1 - Descriptive data on sample characteristics

Variable	
Female gender, n (%)	369 (79.9)
Age, median (range)	23 (16-61)
State, n (%)	
Rio Grande do Sul	362 (78.3)
São Paulo	40 (8.7)
Other states	60 (13.0)
Undergraduate course n (%)	
Nursing	80 (17.3)
Pharmacy	84 (18 2)
Medicine	197 (42.6)
Other courses	101 (21.9)
other courses	101 (21.5)
Living alone, n (%)	75 (16.2)
Is interning, n (%)	333 (72.1)
5, ()	
Place of residence, n (%)	
Urban	309 (66.9)
Rural	153 (33.1)
Substance use, n (%)	
Alcohol	133 (28.8)
Medication	67 (14.5)
Other substances	29 (6.3)
Anxiety, n (%)	
GAD-7 < 10	280 (60.6)
GAD-7 ≥ 10	182 (39.4)
Depression, n (%)	
PHQ-9 < 10	224 (48.5)
$PHQ-9 \ge 10$	238 (51.5)
Quality of Life (QLESQ) score mean (SD)	43 92 (8 58)

GAD-7 = Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item; PHQ-9 = Patient Health Questionnaire-9; QLESQ = Quality of Life and Satisfaction Questionnaire; SD = standard deviation.

	95%CI			
Parameter	Exp (B)*	Lower	Upper	- p
Intercept	0.344	0.144	0.823	0.016+
Undergraduate course				
Other courses	0.710	0 515	0.079	0.026
Dharmany	1 120	0.010	0.976	0.030
PildilldCy	1.130	0.000	1.472	0.304
Nursing	1.352	1.072	1.705	0.011
Medicine	1	-	-	-
Gender				
Female	1.067	0.827	1.378	0.618
Male	1	-	-	-
Is interning				
No	1 088	0.873	1 356	0 454
Ves	1	-	-	-
165	1			
Living alone				
Yes	1.137	0.883	1.464	0.320
No	1	-	-	-
Fear of contagion				
Severe fear	0.624	0.364	1.069	0.086
Moderate fear	0.913	0.645	1.293	0,608
Little fear	0.988	0.797	1.224	0.910
No fear	1	-		-
Coping strategies				
Diaphragmatic breathing				
Yes	0.949	0.748	1.205	0.669
No	1	-	-	-
Physical activity				
Yes	1.021	0.794	1.314	0.871
No	1	-	-	-
Medication				
Yes	0.889	0.696	1.137	0.349
No	1	-	-	-
Psychotherapy				
Yes	0.757	0.594	0.966	0.025+
No	1	-	-	-
Substance use				
Alcohol				
Yes	1 169	0 959	1 474	0 122
No	1	-	-	-
Medication	Ĩ			
Voc	1 216	1.042	1 662	0.021
Ne	1.310	1.042	1.005	0.021
NO	1	-	-	-
Place of residence				
Rural	1.108	0.903	1.360	0.325
Urban	1	-	-	-
Depression				
PHO-9 > 10	4 270	2 843	6 412	< 0.001*
PHO-9 < 10	1	-	-	-
	-			
Quality of life				
QLESQ	0.976	0.960	0.993	0.005 ^s

Table 2 - Multivariate Poisson	n regression model for	r anxiety in health	care students (n = 462)
--------------------------------	------------------------	---------------------	-------------------------

95%CI = 95% confidence interval; PHQ-9 = Patient Health Questionnaire-9; QLESQ = Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire. Anxiety was defined as a Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item (GAD-7) score \geq 10. * Prevalence ratio. * p < 0.05; * p < 0.001; § p < 0.01.

Quality of life assessment

We used bivariate analysis to evaluate factors associated with impact on quality of life. Being female, having anxiety or depression, psychotherapy as a coping strategy, abusing alcohol, using medication (with or without a prescription), or fearing contagion were associated with worse quality of life scores. On the other hand, higher quality of life was associated with

Table 3 - Multivariate Poisson regression model for depression in health care students (n = 462)

Parameter Exp (B)* Lower Upper p Intercept 4.44 1.842 10.001* 0.001* Undergraduate course 0 0.95 1.408 0.185 0.467 Other courses 1.148 0.936 1.408 0.185 0.647 Nursing 0.922 0.76 1.119 0.411 0.411 Medicine 1 - - - - Age 0.977 0.959 0.995 0.015* Gender Female 1.08 0.859 1.358 0.509 Male 1 - - - - No 1.042 0.899 1.223 0.611 - Yes 1 - - - - No fear 1.172 0.833 1.654 0.367 Utitle fear 0.782 0.622 0.017 0.066 Moderate fear 0.798 0.672 0.948 0.010' <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>950</th><th>%CI</th><th></th></t<>			950	%CI	
Intercept 4.44 1.842 10.701 0.001' Undergraduate course	Parameter	Exp (B)*	Lower	Upper	- р
Undergraduate courses 1.148 0.936 1.408 0.647 Pharmacy 0.95 0.76 1.119 0.411 Medicine 1 - - - Age 0.977 0.959 0.995 0.015' Gender - - - - Female 1.08 0.859 1.23 0.611 No 1 - - - - Male 1 - - - - Is interning -	Intercept	4.44	1.842	10.701	0.001+
Undergraduate Course Pharmacy 1.148 0.936 1.408 0.185 Pharmacy 0.95 0.761 1.185 0.647 Nursing 0.922 0.766 1.119 0.411 Medicine 1 - - - Age 0.977 0.959 0.995 0.015* Gender - - - - Ferrale 1.08 0.859 1.238 0.509 Male 1 - - - - Is interning					
Other courses 1.143 0.530 1.408 0.185 Pharmacy 0.95 0.76 1.119 0.411 Medicine 1 - - - Age 0.977 0.959 0.995 0.015' Gender - - - - Female 1.08 0.859 1.358 0.509 Male 1 - - - Is interning - - - - No 1.042 0.889 1.223 0.611 Yes 1 - - - - No fear 1.172 0.833 1.654 0.367 Little fear 0.782 0.602 1.017 0.066 Moderate fear 0.798 0.672 0.948 0.010' Severe fear 1 - - - Yes 1.009 0.836 1.218 0.924 No 1 - <t< td=""><td>Ondergraduate course</td><td>1 1 4 0</td><td>0.026</td><td>1 400</td><td>0.105</td></t<>	Ondergraduate course	1 1 4 0	0.026	1 400	0.105
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Other courses	1.148	0.936	1.408	0.185
Nursing Medicine 0.922 0.76 1.119 0.411 Medicine 1 - - - Age 0.977 0.959 0.995 0.015' Gender - - - - Female 1.08 0.859 1.358 0.509 Male 1 - - - Is interning - - - - No 1.042 0.889 1.223 0.611 No fear 1.072 0.632 1.054 0.367 Uttle (rear 0.792 0.632 1.017 0.0666 Moderate fear 0.798 0.672 0.948 0.010' Severe fear 1 - - - Yes 1.009 0.836 1.218 0.924 No 1 - - - Yes 1.099 0.836 1.554 0.012' No 1 - - <t< td=""><td>Pharmacy</td><td>0.95</td><td>0.761</td><td>1.185</td><td>0.647</td></t<>	Pharmacy	0.95	0.761	1.185	0.647
Medicine 1 - - - - Age 0.977 0.959 0.995 0.015' Gender - - - Female 1.08 0.859 1.358 0.509 Male 1 - - - Is interning - - - - No 1 - - - - Ves 1 - - - - No fear 1.172 0.83 1.654 0.367 No fear 0.782 0.602 1.017 0.066 Moderate fear 0.798 0.672 0.948 0.010' Severe fear 1 - - - Coping strategies - - - - Physical activity 1 - - - - Yes 1.281 1.055 1.554 0.012' - No 1 - - - - - Yes 0.982 0.836<	Nursing	0.922	0.76	1.119	0.411
Age 0.977 0.959 0.995 0.015' Gender 1.08 0.859 1.358 0.509 Male 1.08 1.378 0.509 Is interning 1.04 2.0 0.101 No 1.04 2.0 0.611 Ves 1.07 0.602 0.611 Ferraf contagion 0.782 0.602 1.654 No fear 0.798 0.672 0.948 0.010' Little fear 0.798 0.672 0.948 0.010' No fear 1.009 0.836 1.218 0.924 Coping strategies 1009 0.836 1.218 0.924 No 1 - - - Yes 1.01 - - - No 1 - - - - Yes 1.238 1.055 1.554 0.010' - No 1 - - - - - Yes 0.982 0.836 1.153 0.820 -	Medicine	1	-	-	-
Gender Female 1.08 0.859 1.358 0.509 Male 1 - - - - Is interning Ne 1.042 0.889 1.223 0.611 Fear of contagion - - - - No fear 1.172 0.83 1.654 0.367 Little fear 0.782 0.602 1.017 0.066 Moderate fear 0.798 0.602 1.017 0.066 Severe fear 1 - - - Coping strategies 1 - - - Physical activity 1 - - - Yes 1.281 1.055 1.554 0.010' No 1 - - - - Yes 1.238 1.053 1.456 0.010' No 1 - - - - Substance use 1.053 1.456 0.004' -	Age	0.977	0.959	0.995	0.015*
Female 1.08 0.859 1.358 0.509 Male 1 - - - Is interning - - - No 1.042 0.889 1.223 0.611 Yes 1 - - - Fear of contagion - - No fear 1.172 0.83 1.654 0.367 Little fear 0.782 0.602 1.017 0.066 Moderate fear 0.798 0.672 0.948 0.010' Severe fear 1 - - - Coping strategies - Physical activity Yes 1.009 0.836 1.218 0.924 No 1 - - - - Yes 1.281 1.055 1.554 0.012' No 1 - - - Substance use Alcoho	Gender				
Male 1 - - - - Is interning No 1,042 0.889 1.223 0.611 Yes 1 - - - Fear of contagion 1.172 0.602 1.017 0.066 Moderate fear 0.798 0.602 1.017 0.066 Moderate fear 0.798 0.672 0.948 0.010* Severe fear 1 - - - Coping strategies 1 - - - Physical activity - - - - Yes 1.009 0.836 1.218 0.012* No 1 - - - - Yes 1.238 1.053 1.456 0.010* No 1 - - - - Substance use - - - - - Alcohol - - - - - - Yes 0.941 0.602 0.91 0.004* - -	Female	1.08	0.859	1.358	0.509
Is interning No 1.042 0.889 1.223 0.611 Fear of contagion	Male	1	-	-	-
No 1.042 0.889 1.223 0.611 Yes 1 - - - No fear 1.172 0.833 1.654 0.367 No fear 0.792 0.602 1.017 0.066 Moderate fear 0.798 0.672 0.948 0.010* Severe fear 1 - - - Coping strategies Physical activity - - - Yes 1.009 0.836 1.218 0.924 No 1 - - - Medication - - - - Yes 1.281 1.055 1.554 0.012* No 1 - - - - Yes 1.238 1.053 1.456 0.010* No 1 - - - - Yes 0.982 0.836 1.153 0.820 No 1 - <	Is interning				
No 1.012 0.002 1.115 0.001 Yes 1 - - - Fear of contagion	No	1 042	0.889	1 223	0.611
Fear of contagion No fear 1.172 0.83 1.654 0.367 Little fear 0.782 0.602 1.017 0.066 Moderate fear 0.798 0.672 0.948 0.010 ¹ Severe fear 1 - - - Coping strategies 1 - - - Physical activity 1 - - - Yes 1.009 0.836 1.218 0.924 No 1 - - - Medication 1 - - - Yes 1.281 1.055 1.554 0.012 ¹ No 1 - - - Psychotherapy 1 - - - Yes 0.982 0.836 1.153 0.820 No 1 - - - Yes 0.982 0.836 1.153 0.820 No 1 -	Yes	1	-	-	-
Pear of contagion I.172 0.83 1.654 0.367 No fear 0.782 0.602 1.017 0.066 Moderate fear 0.798 0.672 0.948 0.010' Severe fear 1 - - - Coping strategies - - - - Physical activity 1 - - - Yes 1.009 0.836 1.218 0.924 No 1 - - - Medication - - - - Yes 1.281 1.055 1.554 0.012' No 1 - - - Psychotherapy - - - - Yes 0.982 0.836 1.153 0.820 No 1 - - - Yes 0.982 0.836 1.153 0.820 No 1 - - - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
No lear1.1/20.831.5340.367Little fear0.7820.6021.0170.066Moderate fear0.7980.6720.9480.010*Severe fear1Coping strategiesPhysical activityYes1.0090.8361.2180.924No1MedicationYes1.2811.0551.5540.012*No1PsychotherapyYes1.2381.0531.4560.010*No1Substance useAlcohol1Yes0.7410.6020.910.004*No1Place of residenceRural1.1871.0191.3830.028*Urban1Anxiety1GAD-7 >101Quality of life	Fear of contagion	1 170	0.02	1 (54	0.267
Little rear 0.782 0.602 1.017 0.0060 Moderate fear 0.798 0.672 0.948 0.010° Severe fear 1 - - - Coping strategies 1 - - - Physical activity 1 - - - Yes 1.009 0.836 1.218 0.924 No 1 - - - Medication - - - - Yes 1.281 1.055 1.554 0.012° No 1 - - - Psychotherapy 1 - - - Yes 1.238 1.053 1.456 0.010° No 1 - - - Substance use Alcohol 1 - - Yes 0.741 0.602 0.91 0.004° No 1 - - - <		1.1/2	0.83	1.054	0.367
Moderate fear 0.798 0.672 0.948 0.010° Severe fear1Coping strategiesPhysical activity Yes1MedicationYes1.2811.0551.554 0.012° No1Psychotherapy Yes1.2381.0531.456 0.010° No1Substance use Alcohol1Yes0.9820.8361.1530.820No1Substance use Alcohol1No1Place of residence GAD-7 ≥101.1871.0191.3830.028 ⁺ Quality of life1Quality of life1	Little fear	0.782	0.602	1.01/	0.066
Severe fear 1 - - - Coping strategies Physical activity Yes 1.009 0.836 1.218 0.924 No 1 - - - Medication - - - - Yes 1.281 1.055 1.554 0.012' No 1 - - - Psychotherapy - - - - Yes 1.238 1.053 1.456 0.010' No 1 - - - Substance use - - - - Alcohol 1 - - - Yes 0.741 0.602 0.91 0.004' No 1 - - - Place of residence - - - - Rural 1.187 1.019 1.383 0.028' Urban 1 - - - Anxiety - - - - <td>Moderate fear</td> <td>0.798</td> <td>0.672</td> <td>0.948</td> <td>0.010^{*}</td>	Moderate fear	0.798	0.672	0.948	0.010^{*}
Coping strategies Physical activity Yes 1.009 0.836 1.218 0.924 No 1 - - - Medication 1 - - - Yes 1.281 1.055 1.554 0.012' No 1 - - - Psychotherapy 1 - - - Yes 1.238 1.053 1.456 0.010' No 1 - - - Substance use - - - - Alcohol 1 - - - Yes 0.982 0.836 1.153 0.820 No 1 - - - Medication - - - - No 1 - - - Place of residence - - - - GAD-7 ≥10 1 -	Severe fear	1	-	-	-
Physical activity Yes 1.009 0.836 1.218 0.924 No 1 - - - Medication - - - Yes 1.281 1.055 1.554 0.012 ⁱ No 1 - - - Psychotherapy 1 - - - Yes 1.238 1.053 1.456 0.010 ⁱ No 1 - - - Psychotherapy 1 - - - Yes 1.238 1.053 1.456 0.010 ⁱ No 1 - - - Substance use Alcohol 1 - - Yes 0.982 0.836 1.153 0.820 No 1 - - - Medication - - - - Rural 1.187 1.019 1.383 0.028 ⁱ	Coping strategies				
Yes1.0090.8361.2180.924No1Medication11.0551.5540.012'Yes1.2811.0551.5540.012'No1Psychotherapy1Yes1.2381.0531.4560.010'No1Substance use1Alcohol1Yes0.9820.8361.1530.820No1Yes0.7410.6020.910.004'No1Place of residenceRural Urban1.1871.0191.3830.028'Quality of life2.0391.6582.507< 0.001s	Physical activity				
No 1 - - - Medication 1 1.055 1.554 0.0124 No 1 - - - Psychotherapy 1 - - - Yes 1.238 1.053 1.456 0.0104 No 1 - - - Psychotherapy 1 - - - Yes 1.238 1.053 1.456 0.0104 No 1 - - - Substance use Alcohol 1 - - Yes 0.982 0.836 1.153 0.820 No 1 - - - Medication - - - - Yes 0.741 0.602 0.91 0.0044 No 1 - - - Place of residence - - - - GAD-7 <10	Yes	1.009	0.836	1.218	0.924
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No	1	-	-	-
Yes1.2811.0551.5540.012'No1Psychotherapy1Yes1.2381.0531.4560.010'No1Substance use1Alcohol9820.8361.1530.820Yes0.9820.8361.1530.820No1MedicationYes0.7410.6020.910.004'No1Place of residenceRural Urban1.1871.0191.3830.028'Anxiety GAD-7 >102.0391.6582.507< 0.001s	Medication				
No11.011.011.01Psychotherapy Yes1.2381.0531.456 0.010° No1Substance use Alcohol Yes0.9820.8361.153 0.820 No1Medication Yes0.741 0.602 0.911 0.004° No1Place of residence Rural Urban1.1871.019 1.383 0.028° Anxiety GAD-7 <10	Yes	1,281	1.055	1.554	0.012*
No1Psychotherapy Yes1.2381.0531.456 0.010° No1Substance use Alcohol Yes0.9820.8361.1530.820No1Medication Yes0.7410.6020.910.004^{\circ}No1Place of residence Rural Urban1Anxiety GAD-7 <10	No	1	-	-	-
Type 1.238 1.053 1.456 0.010° No 1 - - - Substance use 1 - - - Alcohol 0.982 0.836 1.153 0.820 No 1 - - - Medication 0.741 0.602 0.91 0.004° Yes 0.741 0.602 0.91 0.004° No 1 - - - Place of residence Rural 1.187 1.019 1.383 0.028° No 1 - - - - Place of residence 1 - - - Rural 1.187 1.019 1.383 0.028° Urban 1 - - - Anxiety 2.039 1.658 2.507 < 0.001 [§] GAD-7 <10	Psychotherapy	1			
No1.2501.6531.6531.6500.000No1Substance use Alcohol Yes0.9820.8361.1530.820No1Medication Yes0.7410.6020.910.004*No1Place of residence Rural Urban1.1871.0191.3830.028*Anxiety GAD-7 >102.0391.6582.507< 0.001*	Voc	1 238	1 053	1 456	0.010‡
No 1 1 1 1 1 Substance use Alcohol Alcohol 1 1 0.836 1.153 0.820 No 1 - - - Medication Yes 0.741 0.602 0.91 0.004 ⁺ No 1 - - - Place of residence Rural Urban 1.187 1.019 1.383 0.028 ⁺ 1 - - - - Anxiety GAD-7 ≥10 GAD-7 <10	No	1.250	1.055	1.450	0.010
Substance use Alcohol 982 0.836 1.153 0.820 No 1 - - - Medication 741 0.602 0.91 0.004 ⁺ No 1 - - - Place of residence 7 1019 1.383 0.028 ⁺ Urban 1 - - - Anxiety 2.039 1.658 2.507 < 0.001 [§] GAD-7 <10	NO	1	-	-	-
Alcohol Yes 0.982 0.836 1.153 0.820 No 1 - - - Medication	Substance use				
Yes0.9820.8361.1530.820No1MedicationYes0.7410.6020.910.004*No1Place of residenceRural1.1871.0191.3830.028*Urban1Anxiety2.0391.6582.507< 0.001§	Alcohol				
No1MedicationYes0.7410.6020.910.004*No1Place of residenceRural1.1871.0191.3830.028*Urban1Anxiety2.0391.6582.507< 0.001§	Yes	0.982	0.836	1.153	0.820
Medication Yes 0.741 0.602 0.91 0.004 [↑] No 1 - - - - Place of residence Kural 1.187 1.019 1.383 0.028 ⁴ Urban 1 - - - - Anxiety 2.039 1.658 2.507 < 0.001 [§] Quality of life 1 - - -	No	1	-	-	-
Yes 0.741 0.602 0.91 0.004 ⁺ No 1 - - - - Place of residence Rural 1.187 1.019 1.383 0.028 ⁺ No 1 - - - - - Anxiety GAD-7 ≥10 2.039 1.658 2.507 < 0.001 [§] Quality of life - - - - - -	Medication				
No 1 - - - Place of residence Rural 1.187 1.019 1.383 0.028 ⁺ No 1 - - - - Anxiety 1 - - - - GAD-7 ≥ 10 2.039 1.658 2.507 < 0.001 [§] Quality of life - - - -	Yes	0.741	0.602	0.91	0.004+
Place of residence Rural 1.187 1.019 1.383 0.028 ⁺ Urban 1 - - - - Anxiety GAD-7 ≥10 2.039 1.658 2.507 < 0.001 [§] Quality of life - - - -	No	1	-	-	-
Rural 1.187 1.019 1.383 0.028 ⁺ Urban 1 - - - Anxiety GAD-7 ≥10 2.039 1.658 2.507 < 0.001 [§] GAD-7 <10	Place of residence				
Rurai 1.167 1.019 1.383 0.028 Urban 1 - - - Anxiety GAD-7 ≥10 2.039 1.658 2.507 < 0.001 [§] GAD-7 <10	Place of residence	1 1 9 7	1.010	1 202	0.029‡
Orban 1 - - - Anxiety GAD-7 ≥10 2.039 1.658 2.507 < 0.001 [§] GAD-7 <10	Ruidi	1.107	1.019	1.303	0.028
Anxiety GAD-7 ≥10 2.039 1.658 2.507 < 0.001 [§] GAD-7 <10	Urban	1	-	-	-
GAD-7 ≥10 2.039 1.658 2.507 < 0.001 ^s GAD-7 <10	Anxiety				
GAD-7 <10 1 Quality of life	GAD-7 ≥10	2.039	1.658	2.507	< 0.001§
Quality of life	GAD-7 <10	1	-	-	-
	Quality of life				
QLESQ 0.952 0.938 0.966 < 0.001§	OLESO	0.952	0.938	0.966	< 0.001§

95%CI = 95% confidence interval; GAD-7 = Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item; QLESQ = Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire. Anxiety was defined as a Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item (GAD-7) score ≥ 10. * Prevalence ratio.

⁺ p < 0.01; ⁺ p < 0.05; $^{\text{s}}$ p < 0.001.

6 - Trends Psychiatry Psychother. 2023;45:e20210416

meditation or mindfulness practice and physical activity (Table S3, available as online-only supplementary material).

Multivariate analysis showed adopting meditation or mindfulness practices or physical activity as coping strategies were associated with higher quality of life among students on health care courses (twice and four times, respectively). The model also demonstrated that anxiety and depression were associated with lower quality of life (twice and six times, respectively). Moreover, fear of contagion (mild, moderate, or severe), suspected COVID-19, and use of medication (with or without a prescription) were associated with lower quality of life (Table 4). In order to clarify the term used for medication, we defined taking medication with a medical prescription as a coping strategy and medication without a prescription as drug abuse.

Comparison among undergraduate health courses

When we compared GAD-7 scores by course, we observed that students from nursing courses had higher anxiety levels than the "other courses" group (53.8%, p = 0.005) (Figure 1). Although more than 50% of the students were depressed, there were no statistically significant differences in PHQ-9 scores between the different health care programs. Other comparisons between student groups are described in Table S4 (online-only supplementary material).

		95%CI		
Parameter	B *	Lower	Upper	р
Constant	50.753	46.709	54.797	< 0.001 ⁺
Undergraduate course				
Other courses	-0.359	-1.906	1.187	0.648
Pharmacy	1.374	-2.314	0.973	0.423
Nursing	-0.670	-0.264	3.011	0.100
Gender				
Female	-1.410	-2.867	0.046	0.058
Internship	0.780	-0.534	2.094	0.244
Fear of contagion				
Little fear	-5.998	-8.42	-3.576	< 0.001 ⁺
Moderate fear	-7.416	-9.531	-5.301	< 0.001 ⁺
Severe fear	-8.407	-10.753	-6.06	< 0.001 ⁺
Coping strategies				
Meditation/mindfulness	1.904	0.352	3.456	0.016 ⁺
Physical activity	4.032	2.783	5.28	< 0.001 ⁺
Medication	-1.792	-3.372	-0.212	0.026*
Psychotherapy	0.106	-1.252	1.464	0.878
Substance use				
Alcohol	-0.465	-1.759	0.828	0.480
Medication	-2.746	-4.606	-0.887	0.004§
Suspected COVID-19	-2.597	-1.172	-4.022	< 0.001 ⁺
Anxiety				
GAD-7 > 10	-2.301	-3.752	-0.85	0.002§
Depression				
PHQ-9 > 10	-5.993	-7.427	-4.559	< 0.001 ⁺

Table 4 - Multivariate Poisson regression model for quality of life in health care students (n = 462)

95%CI = 95% confidence interval; COVID-19 = coronavirus disease 2019; GAD-7 = Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item; PHQ-9 = Patient Health Questionnaire-9. Quality of life was estimated using the Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire (QLESQ). * Total effect of each variable on OLESO.

⁺ p < 0.001; ⁺ p <0.05; § p < 0.01.



Figure 1 - Percentage of students with severe anxiety comparing courses and internship status (n = 462). * p < 0.

Discussion

In this study, we assessed mental health among Brazilian students on health care courses, searching for possible factors associated with anxiety and depressive symptoms, as a measure of psychiatric outcomes, and quality of life, as a parameter of overall functioning in this population. We identified factors associated with worsening of these psychiatric outcomes as well as factors that would potentially reduce some risks and improve the students' quality of life.

The COVID-19 pandemic raised several concerns, such as doubts, fear, and social and personal isolation. For students on health care courses, there are concerns about risks, adaptations of their internship work, and different ways of dealing with the workplace and the world.^{13,15,16,34} In this pandemic situation, many universities closed and switched to online teaching and telehealth, causing students on health care courses distress.^{6,14,35,36} Despite the need for social isolation and the compromised healthcare learning, these students' involvement as frontline health workers gave them some training and purpose. Given these circumstances, the impact of COVID-19 on mental health and habits is an increasingly important topic to consider.

8 – Trends Psychiatry Psychother. 2023;45:e20210416

We were able to identify higher rates of depression and anxiety in students on health care courses as compared with some previous studies using the same scales to screen for these disorders in other countries (China, Korea, Japan, United States, Ethiopia) during the pandemic.^{9,15,35,37} Students on health care courses are recognized as a vulnerable population, suffering from higher levels of anxiety and depression,³⁸ but there seems to be a marked difference in the prevalence of these disorders in Brazilian students, compared to students in other countries.^{15,39-41} While the pooled prevalence estimates of anxiety and depression in Brazilian students from different undergraduate programs were 37.75 and 28.51%, respectively,³⁸ in North American students, the prevalence of depression was 14.3-16% for medical students and 2.8% for students from different programs. Likewise, the prevalence of anxiety for North American students was 19% for medical students and 2.3% for students from different programs.38,39,42 Moreover, some of these studies investigated university students in general, not specifically those studying healthcare subjects.^{35,38,43} Non-healthcare students might be less prone to psychological problems compared with medical students.44

We identified associations between alcohol and medication abuse (no prescription) and psychiatric symptoms or low quality of life. In multivariate analyses, medication abuse was associated with greater anxiety and poorer quality of life. Recent studies in Brazilian, North American, and Ethiopian students suggested an increase in psychotropic drug consumption during the pandemic period, particularly among many undergraduate students and medical students.^{34,35,38,45} Our findings highlight the importance of these habits and their consequences for mental health. Moreover, fear of contagion was an important risk factor for students to have worse quality of life in their work. The increase in consumption of alcohol and fear of contagion prompts us to rethink some aspects of mental distress, coping, and behaviors during the pandemic.35,46,47

In contrast to these adverse factors, some strategies have already been described as protective factors against stressful environments and anxiety.3,18,48-54 In our multivariate analysis, psychotherapy was a potential coping strategy for anxiety. Many studies indicate that cognitive behavioral therapy is an effective technique for anxiety disorders, 55-59 not only in face-to-face settings but also in online formats.^{60,61} On the other hand, psychotherapy was associated with presence of depression in the multivariate analysis and with poor quality of life in bivariate analyses, although this was not confirmed in multivariate analyses. Possibly, this association could be considered a consequence of the presence of symptoms and an initial search for treatment in depressive students.^{18,62} The crosssectional design of our study cannot support conclusions about causality. Other effective coping strategies identified in our study were meditation or mindfulness practice and physical activity, which greatly enhanced resilience and improved the students' quality of life. These procedural strategies are important protective factors for mental health. 52,63-66

Whereas some studies in Brazil, the United States, and India have discussed the presence of high rates of anxiety and depression in medical students,^{10,12,15,34,67,68} there are very few data on students from other health care programs.^{34,67} We therefore compared differences between participants on medical, nursing, and pharmacy courses, and on other courses. We found a higher prevalence of anxiety in nursing students, and a higher rate of exposure to internship in this group, corroborating published studies that address mental health problems and low quality of life in Brazilian and North American nursing students.^{12,16,34,69,70} Interestingly, multivariate analysis demonstrated that the highest risk for anxiety was related to the nursing course. Studies indicate that anxiety can have a negative effect on these students' quality of life of, both in training and in clinical practice,⁷¹ and can even cause them to drop out of the nursing program.⁷² Consistently, a Spanish study reported that final nursing graduate students felt highly committed to their internships during the COVID-19 pandemic, reporting more anxiety than usual.¹⁰

Another important question that should be considered is the impact of stigma on the mental health of the population affected, especially healthcare workers and students.^{73,74} People with current or past COVID-19 and their relatives, social minorities, and healthcare workers have experienced COVID-19 related stigma. These populations could be suffering a range of stigma experiences and practices that can reduce their social support and damage their mental health. Policies and actions to reduce the impacts of COVID-19 related stigma, such as education of the general population and media, celebration of those at the forefront of the pandemic, and fighting myths and misinformation, are very important to protect healthcare workers and students.^{70,73,74}

This study has some limitations that should be acknowledged. First, we adopted an online convenience sampling strategy, which was not based on a random selection. Although the results are from students on health care courses, it is difficult to generalize the results to those students who do not have access to social networks. However, considering the pandemic context, we believe this is the most viable strategy. Second, sample variability was limited to students from a few universities across the country and the sample does not represent the totality of Brazilian students. Third, this study was performed on a limited group of courses, which makes it difficult to generalize our results to other courses. Fourth, the majority of participants were female, and the possibility of sampling bias should be considered, nevertheless gender differences detected were considered in multivariate analyses. Fifth, participants who used prescribed medications were not asked whether they had received a diagnosis prior to the pandemic or what medications they use, and these questions could affect the results. Finally, since this is a cross-sectional study, causal inferences cannot be effectively demonstrated.

On the other hand, our study provides important information about the factors and coping strategies associated with emotional distress, symptoms, and quality of life that could help design appropriate mental health coping interventions. Thereby, this study can further corroborate in future research on some psychological aspects of the health care student population.

Conclusion

The rapid spread of COVID-19 is associated with distress among students on health care courses. The pandemic has had a significant adverse impact on mental health and influenced lifestyle. Our study showed that the COVID-19 pandemic may affect the mental health and well-being of students on health care courses. We also present important information about the factors and coping strategies associated with psychological impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic that should be helpful for designing appropriate mental health interventions. It was observed that nursing students had a higher rate of psychological problems than students on pharmacy, physiotherapy, medicine, and other health courses. Therefore, there is a need to help students to deal with this stressful event, supporting development of self-care practices among students and taking special care with regard to psychological outcomes in order to enable students on health care courses to be more resilient during times of uncertainty.

Acknowledgements

Flávia de Moraes received a research assistant scholarship from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq). Angelica de Baumont received a postdoctoral scholarship from Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES). Gisele Gus Manfro received a CNPq senior scholarship (306249/2017-0).

We thank the participants for their time and support.

Disclosure

No conflicts of interest declared concerning the publication of this article.

References

- World Health organization (WHO). Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020 [Internet]. [cited 2021 Feb 23]. www.who.int/director-general/ speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-atthe-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020
- Rafsanjanipoor SMH, Zakeri MA, Dehghan M, Kahnooji M, Rafsanjani MS, Ahmadinia H, et al. Iranian psychosocial status and its determinant factors during the prevalence of COVID-19 disease. Psychol Health Med. 2022;27:30-41.
- Xiang MQ, Tan XM, Sun J, Yang HY, Zhao XP, Liu L, et al. Relationship of physical activity with anxiety and depression symptoms in Chinese college students during the COVID-19 outbreak. Front Psychol. 2020;11:582436.
- Zakeri MA, Hossini Rafsanjanipoor SM, Sedri N, Kahnooji M, Sanji Rafsanjani M, Zakeri M, et al. Psychosocial status during
- 10 Trends Psychiatry Psychother. 2023;45:e20210416

the prevalence of COVID-19 disease: the comparison between healthcare workers and general population. Curr Psychol. 2021;40:6324-32.

- Antonelli-Salgado T, Monteiro GMC, Marcon G, Roza TH, Zimerman A, Hoffmann MS, et al. Loneliness, but not social distancing, is associated with the incidence of suicidal ideation during the COVID-19 outbreak: a longitudinal study. J Affect Disord. 2021;290:52-60.
- Wang X, Chen H, Liu L, Liu Y, Zhang N, Sun Z, et al. Anxiety and sleep problems of college students during the outbreak of COVID-19. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:588693.
- Olff M, Primasari I, Qing Y, Coimbra BM, Hovnanyan A, Grace E, et al. Mental health responses to COVID-19 around the world. Eur J Psychotraumatol. 2021;12:1929754.
- Pandey U, Corbett G, Mohan S, Reagu S, Kumar S, Farrell T, et al. Anxiety, depression and behavioural changes in junior doctors and medical students associated with the coronavirus pandemic: a cross-sectional survey. J Obstet Gynaecol India. 2021;71:33-7.
 Aceto P, Sambucini D, Zhou Y, Hu B, Li M, Wang X, et al.
- Aceto P, Sambucini D, Zhou Y, Hu B, Li M, Wang X, et al. Article 588693 (2020) anxiety and sleep problems of college students during the outbreak of COVID-19. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:588693.
- Gómez-Ibáñez R, Watson C, Leyva-Moral JM, Aguayo-González M, Granel N. Final-year nursing students called to work: experiences of a rushed labour insertion during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nurse Educ Pract. 2020;49:102920.
- Collado-Boira EJ, Ruiz-Palomino E, Salas-Media P, Folch-Ayora A, Muriach M, Baliño P. "The COVID-19 outbreak"-an empirical phenomenological study on perceptions and psychosocial considerations surrounding the immediate incorporation of final-year Spanish nursing and medical students into the health system. Nurse Educ Today. 2020;92:104504.
- Savitsky B, Findling Y, Ereli A, Hendel T. Anxiety and coping strategies among nursing students during the covid-19 pandemic. Nurse Educ Pract. 2020;46:102809.
- Dratva J, Zysset A, Schlatter N, von Wyl A, Huber M, Volken T. Swiss university students' risk perception and general anxiety during the covid-19 pandemic. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17:7433.
- 14. Xiao H, Shu W, Li M, Li Z, Tao F, Wu X, et al. Social distancing among medical students during the 2019 coronavirus disease pandemic in China: disease awareness, anxiety disorder, depression, and behavioral activities. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17:5047.
- Halperin SJ, Henderson MN, Prenner S, Grauer JN. Prevalence of anxiety and depression among medical students during the Covid-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2021;8: 2382120521991150.
- Ramos TH, Pedrolo E, Santana LDL, Ziesemer NDBS, Haeffner R, Carvalho TP de. O impacto da pandemia do novo coronavírus na qualidade de vida de estudantes de enfermagem. Rev Enferm Cent Oeste Min. 2020;10:4042.
- Amaral-Prado HM, Borghi F, Mello TMVF, Grassi-Kassisse DM. The impact of confinement in the psychosocial behaviour due COVID-19 among members of a Brazilian university. Int J Soc Psychiatry. 2021;67:720-7.
- Bermudez MB, Costanzi M, Macedo MJA, Tatton-Ramos T, Xavier ACM, Ferrão YA, et al. Improved quality of life and reduced depressive symptoms in medical students after a single-session intervention. Braz J Psychiatry. 2020;42:145-52.
- Eysenbach G. Improving the quality of web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). J Med Internet Res. 2004;6:34.
- 20. Child Mind Institute. The Coronavirus Health Impact Survey (CRISIS) [Internet]. [cited 2021 Apr 23]. www.crisissurvey.org/
- 21. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL. The PHQ-9: a new depression diagnostic and severity measure. Psychiatr Ann. 2002;32:509-15.
- Santos IS, Tavares BF, Munhoz TN, Almeida LSP de, Silva NTB da, Tams BD, et al. Sensibilidade e especificidade do Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) entre adultos da população geral. Cad Saude Publica. 2013;29:1533-43.
- Lamela D, Soreira C, Matos P, Morais A. Systematic review of the factor structure and measurement invariance of the patient health questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) and validation of the Portuguese version in community settings. J Affect Disord. 2020;276:220-33.
- Löwe B, Decker O, Müller S, Brähler E, Schellberg D, Herzog W, et al. Validation and standardization of the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Screener (GAD-7) in the general population. Med Care. 2008;46:266-74.

- Moreno AL, DeSousa DA, Souza AMFLP de, Manfro GG, Salum GA, Koller SH, et al. Factor structure, reliability, and item parameters of the Brazilian Portuguese version of the GAD-7 questionnaire. Temas Psicol. 2016;24:367-76.
- Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JBW, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166:1092-7.
- Stevanovic D. Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire-short form for quality of life assessments in clinical practice: a psychometric study. J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs. 2011;18:744-50.
- Zubaran C, Forest K, Thorell MR, Franceschini PR, Homero W. Portuguese version of the quality of life enjoyment and satisfaction questionnaire: a validation study. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 2009;25:443-8.
- Dhahri AA, Arain SY, Memon AM, Rao A, Mian MA. "The psychological impact of COVID-19 on medical education of final year students in Pakistan: a cross-sectional study". Ann Med Surg (Lond). 2020;60:445-50.
- Eweida RS, Rashwan ZI, Desoky GM, Khonji LM. Mental strain and changes in psychological health hub among intern-nursing students at pediatric and medical-surgical units amid ambience of COVID-19 pandemic: a comprehensive survey. Nurse Educ Pract. 2020;49:102915.
- Jog M, Jann K, Yan L, Huang Y, Parra L, Narr K, et al. Concurrent imaging of markers of current flow and neurophysiological changes during tDCS. Front Neurosci. 2020;14:374.
- Intinarelli G, Wagner LM, Burgel B, Andersen R, Gilliss CL. Nurse practitioner students as an essential workforce: the lessons of coronavirus disease 2019. Nurs Outlook. 2021;69:333-9.
- Woolston C. Signs of depression and anxiety soar among US graduate students during pandemic. Nature. 2020;585:147-8.
- Gomes Lelis K de C, Nogueira Elvira Brito RV, de Pinho S, de Pinho L. Sintomas de depressão, ansiedade e uso de medicamentos em universitários. Rev Port Enferm Saude Ment. 2020;23:9-14.
- Aylie NS, Mekonen MA, Mekuria RM. The psychological impacts of COVID-19 pandemic among university students in bench-sheko zone, South-West Ethiopia: a community-based cross-sectional study. Psychol Res Behav Manag. 2020;13:813-21.
- Rodrigues BB, Cardoso RR de J, Peres CHR, Marques FF. Aprendendo com o imprevisível: saúde mental dos universitários e educação médica na pandemia de Covid-19 [Internet]. 2020. www.scielo.br/j/rbem/a/kN9b4V5MJQtvygzTNBWsSZS/?lang=pt
- Zhao B, Kong F, Aung MN, Yuasa M, Nam EW. Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) knowledge, precaution practice, and associated depression symptoms among university students in Korea, China, and Japan. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17:6671.
- Browning MHEM, Larson LR, Sharaievska I, Rigolon A, McAnirlin O, Mullenbach L, et al. Psychological impacts from COVID-19 among university students: risk factors across seven states in the United States. PLoS One. 2021;16:e0245327.
- Schwenk TL, Davis L, Wimsatt LA. Depression, stigma, and suicidal ideation in medical students. JAMA. 2010;304:1181-90.
- Mousa OY, Dhamoon MS, Lander S, Dhamoon AS. The MD blues: under-recognized depression and anxiety in medical trainees. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0156554.
- Demenech LM, Oliveira AT, Neiva-Silva L, Dumith SC. Prevalence of anxiety, depression and suicidal behaviors among Brazilian undergraduate students: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord. 2021;282:147-59.
- 42. Wang YP, Chiavegatto Filho ADP, Campanha AM, Malik AM, Mogadouro MA, Cambraia M, et al. Patterns and predictors of health service use among people with mental disorders in São Paulo metropolitan area, Brazil. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci. 2017;26:89-101.
- Eisenberg D, Chung H. Adequacy of depression treatment among college students in the United States. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2012;34:213-20.
- Moir F, Yielder J, Sanson J, Chen Y. Depression in medical students: current insights. Adv Med Educ Pract. 2018;9:323-33.
- Ferreira LC, Amorim RS, Melo Campos FM, Cipolotti R. Mental health and illness of medical students and newly graduated doctors during the pandemic of SARS-Cov-2/COVID-19. PLoS One. 2021;16:e0251525.
- 46. Du C, Zan MCH, Cho MJ, Fenton JI, Hsiao PY, Hsiao R, et al. The effects of sleep quality and resilience on perceived stress, dietary behaviors and alcohol misuse: a mediation-moderation analysis of higher education students from Asia, Europe and North America during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nutrients. 2021;13:442.

- Tesfaye Kelemu R, Bayray Kahsay A, Ahmed KY. Prevalence of mental distress and associated factors among samara university students, northeast Ethiopia. Depress Res Treat. 2020;2020:7836296.
- Hopper SI, Murray SL, Ferrara LR, Singleton JK. Effectiveness of diaphragmatic breathing for reducing physiological and psychological stress in adults: a quantitative systematic review. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. 2019;17:1855-76.
- Hubbard KK, Blyler D. Improving academic performance and working memory in health science graduate students using progressive muscle relaxation training. Am J Occup Ther. 2016;70:7006230010p1-7006230010p8.
- McDowell CP, Dishman RK, Gordon BR, Herring MP. Physical activity and anxiety: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Am J Prev Med. 2019;57:545-56.
- Mojarrab S, Bazrafkan L, Jaberi A. The effect of a stress and anxiety coping program on objective structured clinical examination performance among nursing students in Shiraz, Iran. BMC Med Educ. 2020;20:301.
- Schuch FB, Stubbs B, Meyer J, Heissel A, Zech P, Vancampfort D, et al. Physical activity protects from incident anxiety: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Depress Anxiety. 2019;36:846-58.
- 53. Y Yu, Yu Y, Bin Li B. Effects of mindfulness and meaning in life on psychological distress in Chinese university students during the COVID-19 epidemic: a chained mediation model. Asian J Psychiatr. 2020;53:102211.
- Kang L, Li Y, Hu S, Chen M, Yang C, Yang BX, et al. The mental health of medical workers in Wuhan, China dealing with the 2019 novel coronavirus. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7:e14.
- 55. Apolinário-Hagen J, Drüge M, Fritsche L. Cognitive behavioral therapy, mindfulness-based cognitive therapy and acceptance commitment therapy for anxiety disorders: Integrating traditional with digital treatment approaches. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2020;1191:291-329.
- Bogucki OE, Craner JR, Berg SL, Wolsey MK, Miller SJ, Smyth KT, et al. Cognitive behavioral therapy for anxiety disorders: outcomes from a multi-state, multi-site primary care practice. J Anxiety Disord. 2021;78:102345.
- Hans E, Hiller W. A meta-analysis of nonrandomized effectiveness studies on outpatient cognitive behavioral therapy for adult anxiety disorders. Clin Psychol Rev.2013;33:954-64.
- Hofmann SG, Smits JAJ. Cognitive-behavioral therapy for adult anxiety disorders: A meta-analysis of randomized placebocontrolled trials. J Clin Psychiatry. 2008;69:621-32.
- Watts SE, Turnell A, Kladnitski N, Newby JM, Andrews G. Treatment-as-usual (TAU) is anything but usual: a meta-analysis of CBT versus TAU for anxiety and depression. J Affect Disord. 2015;175:152-67.
- Axelsson E, Andersson E, Ljótsson B, Björkander D, Hedman-Lagerlöf M, Hedman-Lagerlöf E. Effect of internet vs face-to-face cognitive behavior therapy for health anxiety: A randomized noninferiority clinical trial. JAMA Psychiatry. 2020;77:915-24.
- Etzelmueller A, Vis C, Karyotaki E, Baumeister H, Titov N, Berking M, et al. Effects of internet-based cognitive behavioral therapy in routine care for adults in treatment for depression and anxiety: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22:e18100.
- Regehr C, Glancy D, Pitts A. Interventions to reduce stress in university students: a review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord. 2013;148:1-11.
- 63. Brailovskaia J, Cosci F, Mansueto G, Miragall M, Herrero R, Baños RM, et al. The association between depression symptoms, psychological burden caused by Covid-19 and physical activity: an investigation in Germany, Italy, Russia, and Spain. Psychiatry Res. 2021;295:113596.
- Harvey SB, Øverland S, Hatch SL, Wessely S, Mykletun A, Hotopf M. Exercise and the prevention of depression: results of the HUNT Cohort Study. Am J Psychiatry. 2018;175:28-36.
- Hu S, Tucker L, Wu C, Yang L. Beneficial effects of exercise on depression and anxiety during the Covid-19 pandemic: a narrative review. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11587557.
- van der Riet P, Levett-Jones T, Aquino-Russell C. The effectiveness of mindfulness meditation for nurses and nursing students: an integrated literature review. Nurse Educ Today. 2018;65:201-11.
- Coakley KE, Le H, Silva SR, Wilks A. Anxiety is associated with appetitive traits in university students during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nutr J. 2021;20:45.

- 68. Alves TC de TF. Depressão e ansiedade entre estudantes da área de saúde. Rev Med (Rio J). 2014;93:101.
- 69. Brouwer KR, Walmsley LA, Parrish EM, McCubbin AK, Welsh JD, Brado CEC, et al. Examining the associations between self-care practices and psychological distress among nursing students during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nurse Educ Today. 2021;100:104864.
- 70. Rosenthal L, Lee S, Jenkins P, Arbet J, Carrington S, Hoon S, et al. A Survey of mental health in graduate nursing students during
- al. A Survey of mental health in graduate nursing students during the COVID-19 pandemic. Nurse Educ. 2021;46:215-20.
 71. Shao R, He P, Ling B, Tan L, Xu L, Hou Y, et al. Prevalence of depression and anxiety and correlations between depression, anxiety, family functioning, social support and coping styles among Chinese medical students. BMC Psychol. 2020;8:38.
 72. Rafati F, Nouhi E, Sabzevari S, Dehghan-Nayeri N. Coping strategies of nursing students for dealing with stress in clinical setting: a gualitative study. Electron Physician. 2017;9:6120
- setting: a qualitative study. Electron Physician. 2017;9:6120.
- 73. Ransing R, Ramalho R, de Filippis R, Ojeahere MI, Karaliuniene R, Orsolini L, et al. Infectious disease outbreak related stigma and discrimination during the COVID-19 pandemic: drivers, facilitators, manifestations, and outcomes across the world. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;89:555.
- Adiukwu F, Bytyci DG, Hayek S El, Gonzalez-Diaz JM, Larnaout A, Grandinetti P, et al. Global perspective and ways to combat stigma associated with COVID-19. Indian J Psychol Med. 2020;42:569-74.

Correspondence:

Flávia de Moraes Centro de Pesquisa Clínica, Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre Rua Ramiro Barcelos, 2350, Rio Branco

90035-007 - Porto Alegre, RS - Brazil

E-mail: flaviademoraes01@gmail.com